### AROMASTAN F.C. TABLET 25MG

#### 1. NAME OF THE MEDICINAL PRODUCT

AROMASTAN F.C. TABLET 25MG

#### QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE COMPOSITION

Each tablet contains 25 mg exemesta For List of Excipients, see section 6.1.

#### 3. PHARMACEUTICAL FORM

Film coated tablets

White, round, biconvex film-coated tablets, with uniform appearance

#### 4.1. Therapeutic Indications

Exemestane is indicated for the adjuvant treatment of post-menopausal women with estrogen-receptor positive invasive e breast cancer, following 2-3 years of initial adjuvant tamoxifen

Exemestane is indicated for the treatment of advanced breast cancer in women with natural or induced post-menopausal status whose disease has progressed following anti- estrogen therapy.

### 4.2. Posology and Method of Administration

#### **Adult and Elderly Patients**

The recommended dose of exemestane is one 25 mg tablet to be taken once daily, preferably after a meal.

In patients with early breast cancer, treatment with exemestane should continue until completion of five years of combined sequential adjuvant hormonal therapy (tamoxifen followed by exemestane), or earlier if tumor relapse occurs.

In patients with advanced breast cancer, treatment with exemestane should continue until tumor progression is evident. Hepatic or Renal Insufficiency

No dose adjustments are required for patients with hepatic or renal insufficiency.

Not recommended for use in children.

#### 4.3. Contraindications

Exemestane is contraindicated in patients with a known hypersensitivity to the drug or to any of the excipients.

## Because of its mode of action, exemestane should not be

administered to women with pre-menopausal endocrine status. Therefore, whenever clinically appropriate, the post-menopausal status should be ascertained by assessment of LH, FSH and estradiol levels.

Exemestane should not be co-administered with estrogen-containing products as these would negate its pharmacological

Exemestane should be used with caution in patients with hepatic

As exemestane is a potent estrogen lowering agent, reductions in bone mineral density can be anticipated. The impact of exemestar on long-term fracture risk remains undetermined. During adjuvant treatment with exemestane, women with osteoporosis or at risk of osteoporosis should have their bone mineral density formally assessed by bone densitometry at the commencement of treatment. Although adequate data to show the effects of therapy in the treatment of bone mineral density loss caused by exemestane are not available, treatment of osteoporosis should be initiated as appropriate. Patients treated with exemestane should be carefully monitored.

Routine assessment of 25-hydroxy vitamin D levels prior to the start of aromatase inhibitor treatment should be considered, due to the high prevalence of severe deficiency associated in women with early breast cancer (EBC). Women with Vitamin D deficiency should receive supplementation with Vitamin D. 4.5. Interaction with Other Medicinal Products and Other

### Forms of Interaction

In vitro evidence showed that the drug is metabolized through cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 and aldoketoreductases and does not inhibit any of the major CYP isoenzymes. In a clinical pharmacokinetic study, the specific inhibition of CYP3A4 by ketoconazole showed no significant effects on the pharmacokinetics of exemestane.

Although pharmacokinetic effects were observed in a pharmacokinetic interaction study with rifampicin, a potent CYP3A4 inducer, the pharmacologic activity (i.e., estrogen suppression) was not affected, and a dosage adjustment is not required.

In an interaction study with rifampicin at a dose of 600 mg daily and a single dose of exemestane 25 mg, the AUC of exemestane was reduced by 54% and Cmax by 41%. Since the clinical relevance of this interaction has not been evaluated, the co-administration of drugs, such as rifampicin, anticonvulsants (e.g., phenytoin and carbamazepine) and herbal preparations containing Hypericum perforatum (St. John's Wort) known to induce CYP3A4 may reduce the efficacy of exemestane.

Exemestane should be used cautiously with drugs that are metabolized via CYP3A4 and have a narrow therapeutic window. There is no clinical experience of the concomitant use of exemestane with other anticancer drugs. 4.6. Pregnancy and Lactation

## Pregnancy Women should not use exemestane during pregnancy because it may cause harm to the fetus. Studies in animals have shown

reproductive toxicity (See section 5.3).

#### It is not known whether exemestane is excreted into human milk. Exemestane should not be used in women who are lactating.

4.7. Effects on Ability to Drive and Use Machines Drowsiness, somnolence, asthenia and dizziness have been

# reported with the use of the drug. Patients should be advised that, if these events occur, their physical and/or mental abilities required for operating machinery or driving a car may be impaired.

4.8. Undesirable Effects Clinical Trials:

## Exemestane was generally well tolerated across all studies

## in the clinical studies, conducted with exemestane 25 mg/day,

adverse events were usually mild to moderate. The discontinuation rate due to adverse events was 7.4% in patients with early breast cancer receiving adjuvant treatment with exemestane following initial adjuvant tamoxifen therapy. The most commonly reported adverse reactions were hot flushes (22%),

arthralgia (18%), and fatigue (16%). The discontinuation rate due to adverse events was 2.8% in the overall patient population with advanced breast cancer. The most commonly reported adverse reactions were hot flushes (14%) and nausea (12%).

Most adverse reactions can be attributed to the normal pharmacological consequences of estrogen deprivation (e.g., hot The reported adverse reactions are listed below by MedDRA

Very common ( $\ge$  1/10), Common ( $\ge$  1/100 to <1/10), Uncommon ( $\ge$  1/1,000 to <1/100), Rare ( $\ge$  1/10,000 to <1/1,000). Metabolism and nutrition disorders: Anorexia

System Organ Class and by frequency. Frequencies are defined as:

Psychiatric disor	ders:					
Very common	Depression, insomnia					
Nervous system	disorders:					
Very common	Headache, dizziness					
Common	Carpal tunnel syndrome					
Uncommon	Somnolence					
Vascular disorders:						
Very common	Hot flushes					
Gastrointestinal disorders:						
Very common	Abdominal pain, nausea					
Common	Vomiting, diarrhea, constipation, dyspepsia					
Hepatobiliary dis	sorders:					
Very common	Hepatic enzyme increased, blood bilirubin increased, blood alkaline phosphatase increased					
Skin and subcute	aneous tissue disorders:					
Very common	Increased sweating					
Common	Alopecia, rash					
Musculoskeletal	and bone disorders:					
Very common	Joint and musculoskeletal pain (*)					
Common	Fracture, osteoporosis					
General disorder	s and administration site conditions:					
Very common	Pain, fatigue					
Common	Edema peripheral					

Asthenia

(\*) Includes: arthralgia, and less frequently pain in limb osteoarthritis, back pain, arthritis, myalgia and joint stiffness

In patients with advanced breast cancer, thrombocytopenia and leucopenia have been rarely reported. An occasional decrease in lymphocytes has been observed in approximately 20% of patients receiving exemestane, particularly in patients with pre-existing lymphopenia. However, mean lymphocyte values in these patients did not change significantly over time and no corresponding increase in viral infections was observed. These effects have not been observed in patients treated in early breast cancer studies.

In the early breast cancer trial, the frequency of ischemic cardiac events in the exemestane and tamoxifen treatment arms was 4.5% vs. 4.2% respectively. No significant difference was noted for any individual cardiovascular event including hypertension (9.9% vs. 8.4%), myocardial infarction (0.6% vs. 0.2%) nd cardiac failure (1.1% vs. 0.7%).

In the early breast cancer trial, gastric ulcer was observed at a slightly higher frequency in the exemestane arm compared to tamoxifen (0.7% vs <0.1%). The majority of patients on exemestane with gastric ulcer received concomitant treatment with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents and/or had a prior history.

The table below presents the frequency of pre-specified adverse events and illnesses in the early breast cancer study (IES), irrespective of causality, reported in patients receiving trial therapy and up to 30 days after cessation of trial therapy

Adverse events and illnesses	Exemestane (N = 2252)	Tamoxifen (N = 2279)
Hot flushes	488 (21.7%)	456 (20.0%)
Fatigue	372 (16.5%)	345 (15.1%)
Headache	303 (13.5%)	255 (11.2%)
Insomnia	279 (12.4%)	199 (8.7%)
Sweating increased	270 (12.0%)	242 (10.6%)
Dizziness	225 (10.0%)	197 (8.6%)
Nausea	199 (8.8%)	205 (9.0%)
Osteoporosis	116 (5.2%)	65 (2.9%)
Vaginal haemorrhage	87 (3.9%)	109 (4.8%)
Gynecological	81 (3.6%)	154 (6.8%)
Other primary cancer	56 (2.5%)	84 (3.7%)
Vomiting	51 (2.3%)	52 (2.3%)
Visual disturbance	44 (2.0%)	48 (2.1%)
Cardiovascular disorder	21 (0.9%)	39 (1.7%)
Osteoporotic fracture	17 (0.8%)	13 (0.6%)
Thromboembolism	15 (0.7%)	40 (1.8%)
Myocardial infarction	14 (0.6%)	4 (0.2%)

Post-marketing Experience:

Immune system disorders

Nervous system disorders Common: Paresthesia

Hepatobiliary disorders Rare: Hepatitis, hepatitis cholestatic

Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders Common: Urticaria, pruritus
Rare: Acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis

Clinical trials have been conducted with exemestane given up to 800 mg in a single dose to healthy female volunteers and up to 600 mg daily to post-menopausal women with advanced breast cancer; these dosages were well tolerated. In rats and dogs, lethality was observed after single oral doses equivalent to 2,000 and 4,000 times, respectively, the recommended human dose on a mg/m<sup>2</sup> basis. There is no specific antidote to overdosage and treatment must be

#### 5. PHARMACOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

### 5.1. Pharmacodynamic Properties

Pharmacotherapeutic group: steroidal aromatase inhibitor, anti-neoplastic agent ATC: LO2BG06.

Exemestane is an irreversible, steroidal aromatase inhibitor, structurally related to the natural substrate androstenedione. In post-menopausal women, estrogens are produced primarily from the conversion of androgens into estrogens through the aromatase enzyme in peripheral tissues. Estrogen deprivation through aromatase inhibition is an effective and selective treatment for hormone dependent breast cancer in post-menopausal women In post-menopausal women, orally administered exemestane significantly lowered serum estrogen concentrations starting from a 5 mg dose, reaching maximal suppression (>90%) with a dose of 10-25 mg. In post-menopausal breast cancer patients treated with the 25 mg daily dose, whole body aromatization was reduced

activity. A slight androgenic activity, probably due to the 17-hydro derivative, has been observed mainly at high doses. In multiple daily doses trials, exemestane had no detectable effects on adrenal biosynthesis of cortisol or aldosterone, measured before or after ACTH challenge, thus demonstrating its selectivity with regard to the other enzymes involved in the steroidogenic pathway. These findings indicate that glucocorticoid or mineralocorticoid replacements are not warranted. A slight nondose-dependent increase in serum LH and FSH

Exemestane does not possess any progestogenic or estrogenic

pharmacological class effect is expected and probably results from feedback at the pituitary level due to the reduction in estrogen levels that stimulate the pituitary secretion of gonadotropins (also in post-menopausal women). Clinical Studies:

levels has been observed even at low doses. However, this

## Adjuvant Treatment of Early Breast Cancer

In a multicenter, randomized, double-blind study (Intergroup Exemestane Study [IES]), conducted in 4724 post-menopausal

patients with estrogen-receptor-positive or unknown primary breast cancer, patients who had remained disease-free after receiving adjuvant amoxifen therapy for 2 to 3 years, were randomized to receive 3 to 2 years of exemestane (25 mg/day) or tamoxifen (20 or 30 mg/day) to complete a total of 5 years of hormonal therapy. 35-Month Median Follow-up After a median duration of therapy of about 27 months and a median follow-up of about 35 months, results showed that

sequential treatment with exemestane after 2 to 3 years of adjuvant tamoxifen therapy was associated with a clinically and statistically significant improvement in disease-free survival (DFS) compared with continuation of tamoxifen therapy. Analysis showed that over the observed study period, exemestane reduced the risk of breast cancer recurrence by 31% compared with tamoxifen (hazard ratio 0.69, p = 0.00003). The beneficial effect of exemestane over tamoxifen with respect to DFS was apparent regardless of nodal status or prior chemotherapy. Exemestane also significantly reduced the risk of contralateral breast cancer (hazard ratio 0.32, p = 0.0034) and significantly prolonged breast cancer-free survival (hazard ratio 0.65, p <

0.00001) and distant recurrence-free survival (hazard ratio 0.70, p = 0.00083). At the time of analysis, overall survival was not significantly different in the two groups with 116 deaths occurring in the exemestane group and 137 in the tamoxifen group (hazard ratio 0.86, p=0.23).

observed in exemestane-treated patients versus tamoxifen-treated patients (2.2% vs. 3.5%). 52-Month Median Follow-up After a median duration of therapy of about 30 months and a median follow-up of about 52 months, results showed that

A lower incidence of other second (non-breast) primary cancers was

### sequential treatment with exemestane after 2 to 3 years of adjuvant tamoxifen therapy was associated with a clinically and statistically significant improvement in DFS compared with

continuation of tamoxifen therapy. Analysis showed that over the observed study period exemestane reduced the risk of breast cancer recurrence by 24% compared with tamoxifen (hazard ratio 0.76, p = 0.00015). The beneficial effect of exemestane over tamoxifen with respect to DFS was apparent regardless of nodal status or prior chemotherapy. Exemestane also significantly reduced risk of contralateral breast cancer (hazard ratio 0.57, p = 0.04158), significantly prolonged breast cancer-free survival (hazard ratio 0.76, p = 0.00041), and distant recurrence-free survival (hazard ratio 0.83, p = 0.02621).

in the table below: Exemes-Endpoint oxifer Events/N (%)

(95% CI)

(0.70-0.98)

0.78

52-month main efficacy results in all patients (intention-to-treat population) and estrogen receptor-positive patients are summarized

	(%)	(,	(,			
Disease-free survival <sup>a</sup>						
All patients	<b>354</b> /2352 (15.1%)	<b>453</b> /2372 (19.1%)	0.76 (0.67-0.88)	0.00015		
ER+ patients	<b>289</b> /2023 (14.3%)	<b>370</b> /2021 (18.3%)	0.75 (0.65-0.88)	0.00030		
Contralateral breast cancer						
All patients	<b>20</b> /2352 (0.9%)	<b>35</b> /2372 (1.5%)	0.57 (0.33-0.99)	0.04158		
ER+ patients	<b>18</b> /2023 (0.9%)	<b>33</b> /2021 (1.6%)	0.54 (0.30-0.95)	0.03048		
Breast cano	er-free surviv	al <sup>b</sup>				
All patients	<b>289</b> /2352 (12.3%)	<b>373</b> /2372 (15.7%)	0.76 (0.65-0.89)	0.00041		
ER+ patients	<b>232</b> /2023 (11.5%)	<b>305</b> /2021 (15.1%)	0.73 (0.62-0.87)	0.00038		
Distant recurrence- free survival <sup>C</sup>						
All patients	<b>248</b> /2352	<b>297</b> /2372	0.83	0.02621		

(12.5%)

**242**/2021

(10.5%)

**194**/2023

ER-

patients

Overall survival <sup>d</sup>							
All patients	<b>222</b> /2352 (9.4%)	<b>262</b> /2372 (11.0%)	0.85 (0.71-1.02)	0.07362			
ER+ patients	<b>178</b> /2023 (8.8%)	<b>211</b> /2021 (10.4%)	0.84 (0.68-1.02)	0.07569			

- \* Log-rank test; ER+ patients = estrogen receptor positive patients; al is defined as the first occu or distant recurrence, contralateral breast cancer, or death from
- any cause; <sup>b</sup> Breast cancer-free survival is defined as the first occurrence of local or distant recurrence, contralateral breast cancer or breast cancer death;
- CDistant recurrence-free survival is defined as the first occurrence
- of distant recurrence or breast cancer death;
  <sup>d</sup> Overall survival is defined as occurrence of death from any cause

In the whole study population, a trend for improved overall survival was observed for exemestane (222 deaths) compared to tamoxifen (262 deaths) with a hazard ratio 0.85 (log-rank test: p = 0.07362), representing a 15% reduction in the risk of death in favor of exemestane. However, for the subset of patients with estrogen receptor positive or unknown status, the unadjusted overall survival hazard ratio was 0.83 (log-rank test: p = 0.04250), representing a clinically and statistically significant 1.7% reduction in the risk of dying

In the whole study population, a statistically significant 23% reduction in the risk of dying (hazard ratio for overall survival 0.77; Wald chi square test: p = 0.0069) was observed for exemestane compared to tamoxifen when adjusting for the pre-specified prognostic factors (i.e., ER status, nodal status, prior chemotherapy, use of HRT and use of bisphosphonates).

A lower incidence of other second (non-breast) primary cancers was observed in exemestane-treated patients compared with tamoxifen only-treated patients (3.6% vs. 5.3%).

Results from an endometrial sub-study indicate that after 2 year of treatment there was a median 33% reduction of endometrial thickness in the exemestane-treated patients compared with no notable variation in the tamoxifen-treated patients. Endometrial thickening, reported at the start of study treatment, was reversed to normal for 54% of patients treated with exemestane.

### 87-Month Median Follow-up

After a median duration of therapy of about 30 months and a median follow-up of about 87 months, results showed that sequential treatment with exemestane after 2 to 3 years of adjuvant tamoxifen therapy was associated with a clinically and statistically significant improvement in DFS compared with continuation of tamoxifen therapy. Analysis showed that over the observed study period exemestane reduced the risk of breast cancer recurrence by 16% compared with tamoxifen (hazard ratio 0.84, p = 0.002). The beneficial effect of exemestane over tamoxifen with respect to DFS was apparent regardless of nodal status or prior chemotherapy

Exemestane also significantly prolonged breast cancer-free su (hazard ratio 0.82, p = 0.00263), and distant recurrence-free survival (hazard ratio 0.85, p = 0.02425). Exemestane also reduced risk of contralateral breast cancer; however, the effect was no longer statistically significant (hazard ratio 0.74, p = 0.12983). In the whole study population, a trend for improved overall survival was observed for exemestane (373 deaths) compared to tamoxifen (420 deaths) with a hazard ratio 0.89 (log rank test p = 0.08972), (420 deaths) with a hazard ratio (3.9) (log fank test; p = (.0097z), representing an 11% reduction in the risk of death in favor of exemestane. However, for the subset of patients with estrogen receptor positive or unknown status, the unadjusted overall survival hazard ratio was 0.86 (log-rank test; p = 0.0426Z), representing a clinically and statistically significant 14% reduction in the risk of dying.

In the whole study population, a statistically significant 18% reduction in the risk of dying (hazard ratio for overall survival 0.82; Wald chi square test: p = 0.0082) was observed for exemestane compared to tamoxifen when adjusting for the pre-specified prognostic factors (i.e., ER status, nodal status, prior chemoth use of HRT and use of bisphosphonates).

A lower incidence of other second (non-breast) primary cancers was observed in exemestane-treated patients compared with tamoxifen only-treated patients (5.6% vs 7.6%).

Results from a bone sub-study indicate that treatment with exemestane for 2 to 3 years following 3 to 2 years of tamoxifen treatment increased bone loss while on treatment (mean % change from baseline for BMD at 36 months: -3.37 [spine], -2.96 [total hip] for exemestane and -1.29 [spine], -2.02 [total hip], for tamoxifen). However, by the end of the follow-up period, there were minimal differences between the treatment arms in the change in BMD from baseline, with the tamoxifen arm having slightly greater fir reductions in BMD at all sites (mean % change from baseline for BMD at 24 months post-treatment: -2.17 [spine], -3.06 [total hip] for exemestane and -3.44 [spine], -4.15 [total hip] for tamoxifen)

## 119-Month Final Follow-Up

After a median duration of therapy of about 30 months and a median follow-up of about 119 months, results showed that sequential treatment with exemestane after 2 to 3 years of adjuvant tamoxifen therapy was associated with a clinically and statistically significant improvement in DFS compared with continuation of tamoxifen therapy. Analysis showed that over the observed study period exemestane reduced the risk of breast cancer recurrence by 14% compared with tamoxifen (hazard ratio 0.86, p = 0.00393). The beneficial effect of exemestane over tamoxifen with respect to DFS was apparent regardless of nodal status or prior chemotherapy.

Exemestane also significantly prolonged breast cancer-free survival (hazard ratio 0.83, p<0.00152), and distant recurrence-free survival (hazard ratio 0.86, p = 0.02213). Exemestane also reduced risk of contralateral breast cancer; however, the effect was no longe statistically significant (hazard ratio 0.75, p = 0.10707). In the whole study population, overall survival was not statistically

different between the two groups with 467 deaths (19.9%) occurring in the exemestane group and 510 deaths (21.5%) in the tamoxifen group (hazard ratio 0.91, p = 0.15737, not adjusted for multiple testing). For the subset of patients with estrogen receptor positive or unknown status, the unadjusted overall survival hazard ratio was 0.89 (log-rank test: p = 0.07881) in the exemestane group relative to the tamoxifen group. In the whole study population, a statistically significant 14% reduction in the risk of dying (hazard ratio for OS 0.86; Wald  $\mbox{chi}$ 

square test: p = 0.0257) was observed for exemestane compared with tamoxifen when adjusting for the prespecified prognostic factors (i.e., ER status, nodal status, prior chemotherapy, use of HRT and use of bisphosphonates) r incidence of other second (non-b observed in exemestane-treated patients compared with tamoxifen

only-treated patients (9.9% vs. 12.4%) Treatment of Advanced Breast Cancer

## In a randomized peer reviewed controlled clinical trial, exemestane

at the daily dose of 25 mg demonstrated statistically significant prolongation of survival, Time to Progression (TTP), Time to Treatment Failure (TTF) as compared to a standard hormonal treatment with megestrol acetate in post-menopausal patients with advanced breast cancer that had progressed following, or during, treatment with tamoxifen either as adjuvant therapy or as first-line treatment for advanced disease. 5.2. Pharmacokinetic Properties

### Absorption After oral administration of exemestane tablets, the drug is

absorbed rapidly. The fraction of the dose absorbed f gastrointestinal tract is high. The absolute bioavailability in humans is unknown, although it is anticipated to be limited by an extensive first pass effect. A similar effect resulted in an absolute bioavailability in rats and dogs of 5%. After a single dose of 25 mg, maximum plasma levels of 17ng/mL are reached by 2 hours. Exemestane pharmacokinetics are linear time independent and do not demonstrate unexpected accumulation with repeated administration. The terminal elimination half-life of exemestane is approximately 24 h. Concomitant administration with food increases exemestane bioavailability by approximately 40%. Distribution The volume of distribution of exe The volume of distribution of exemestane, not corrected for the oral bioavailability (V/F), is ca 20,000 L. Binding to plasma proteins is

90% and is concentration independent. Exemestane and its metabolites do not bind to red blood cells Metabolism and Excretion Exemestane is metabolized via oxidation of the methylene moiety on the 6 position by CYP3A4 and/or reduction of the 17-keto group by aldoketoreductase followed by conjugation. The

clearance of exemestane not corrected for the oral bioavailability (CL/F) is ca 500 L/h. Exemestane metabolites are either inactive or demonstrate markedly lower aromatase inhibition than the parent compound. Following the administration of a <sup>14</sup>C-labeled exemestane dose, approximately equal amounts (ca 40%) of drug-derived radioactivity were eliminated in urine and feces within 1

week. Between 0.1  $\!\!^{''}\!\!\!$  to 1% of the radioactive dose was excreted in the urine as unchanged  $^{14}\text{C-labeled}$  exemestane. Special Populations: Age

No significant correlation between exemestane systemic exposure and age has been observed. Renal Insufficiency 

systemic exposure to exemestane was 2-times higher compared with healthy volunteers. Given the safety profile of exemestane, no dose adjustment is

considered necessary

considered necessary

Hepatic Insufficiency In patients with moderate or severe hepatic impairment the exposure of exemestane is 2-3 fold higher compared with healthy

Given the safety profile of exemestane, no dose adjustment is

#### 5.3. Preclinical Safety Data Acute Toxicity

The acute oral toxicity of exemestane is low with LD<sub>so</sub> in rodents 2,000 mg/kg and the compound was well tolerated in dogs up to 1,000 mg/kg.

#### Chronic Toxicity

In repeated-dose toxicity studies, the no-toxic-effect levels after 1 year's treatment were 50 mg/kg/day in rats and 30 mg/kg/day in dogs, which yielded systemic exposure approximately 3 to 6 times higher compared to the exposure in humans at 25 mg/day. day. In all species tested and in both sexes, there were effects on reproductive and accessory organs which were related to the pharmacological activity of exemestane. Other toxicological effects (on liver, kidney or central nervous system) were observed only at exposures considered sufficiently in excess of the maximum human exposure indicating little relevance to clinical use.

Exemestane was not genotoxic in bacteria (Ames test), in V79 Chinese hamster cells, in rat hepatocytes or in the mouse micronucleus assay. Although exemestane was clastogenic in lymphocytes in vitro, it was not clastogenic in two in vivo studies

#### Carcinogenicity

In a two-year carcinogenicity study in female rats, no treatmentrelated tumors were observed. In male rats the study was terminated on week 92, because of early death by chroni nephropathy. In a two-year carcinogenicity study in mice, an increase in the incidence of hepatic neoplasms in both genders was observed at the intermediate and high doses (150 and 450 mg/ kg/day). This finding is considered to be related to the induction of hepatic microsomal enzymes, an effect observed in mice but not in clinical studies. An increase in the incidence of renal tubu adenomas was also noted in male mice at the high dose (450 mg/ kg/day). This change is considered to be species- and gender-specific and occurred at a dose which represents 63-fold greater exposure than occurs at the human therapeutic dose. None of these observed effects is considered to be clinically relevant to the treatment of patients with exemestane.

Reproductive Toxicity.

In animal reproduction studies in rats and rabbits, exemestane was embryotoxic, fetotoxic, and abortifacient. Radioactivity related to <sup>14</sup>C-exemestane crossed the placenta of rats following oral administration of 1 mg/kg exemestane. The concentration of exemestane and its metabolites was approximately equivalent in maternal and fetal blood. When rats were administered exemestane from 14 days prior to mating until either days 15 or 20 of gestation, and resuming for the 21 days of lactation, an increase in placental weight was seen at 4 mg/kg/day (approximately 1.5 times the recommended human daily dose on a mg/m² basis). Increased resorptions, reduced number of live fetuses, decreased fetal weight, retarded ossification, prolonged gestation and abnormal or difficult labor was observed at doses equal to or greater than 20 mg/kg/day (approximately 7.5 times the recommended human daily dose on amp/m² basis). Daily doses of exemestane given to rabbits during organogenesis caused a decrease in placental weight at 90 mg/ kg/day (approximately 70 times the recommended human daily dose on a mg/m² basis) and, in the presence of maternal toxicity abortions, an increase in resorptions, and a reduction in fetal boo weight were seen at 270 mg/kg/day (approximately 210 times the recommended human dose on a mg/m $^2$  basis). No malformations were noted when exemestane was administered to pregnant rats or rabbits during the organogenesis period at doses up to 810 and 270 mg/kg/day, respectively (approximately 320 and 210 times the recommended human dose on a mg/m² basis, respectively).

#### 6. PHARMACEUTICAL PARTICULARS

#### 6.1 List of Excipients

Tablet core: Povidone K30, StarCap 1500 [Maize starch, Starch, pregelatinized], Sodium starch glycolate, Cellulose microcrystalline type 101, Talc, Silica, colloidal anhydrous, Magnesium stearate, Polysorbate 80

Film-coating: Opadry II 85F18422 White [Polyvinyl alcohol-part. Hydrolyzed, Titanium dioxide (E171), Macrogol 3350, Talc]

### 6.2 Incompatibilities

Not applicable

#### 6.3 Shelf-life

Refer to Expiry Date on outer carton

### 4 Special Precautions for Storage

Do not store above 30°C

### 6.5 Nature and Contents of Container

Blister packs: Box of 3x10's and box of 10x10's

#### 6.6 Instructions for Use/Handling No special requirements.

7. MANUFACTURER

S.C. SINDAN-PHARMA S.R.L. B-dul lon Mihalache nr. 11, Sector 1, Bucuresti, cod 011171, ROMANIA

